# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

1830

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,363	11/15/2001	Yukiko Kubota	010952	4664
23464	7590 02/24/2003			
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL, P.C. ONE OXFORD CENTRE, 301 GRANT STREET 20TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			BERNATZ, KEVIN M	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	2
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003	, )

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

### Art Unit   Examiner				00			
Examiner   Art Unit   T773   T774   Art Unit   T774   T775   T		Application No.	Applicant(s)	100			
New In M. Bernatz   1773   1773   1775   1	Office Action Summany						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estetions of time may be a validate under the provisors of 3 C RF. 1.13(s), in no event, however, may a realy be timely filed and side (s) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication and side (s) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication and side (s) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication is 10 C MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  Follow to reply whithin the set or estanded period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to technology (MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  Follow to reply whithin the set or estanded period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to the communication. The set of this communication to become ABMONED (35 U.S. C. § 135). seared patient term adjustment. See 37 C FR 1.70(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication (s) filed on	, Office Action Summary						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  and SIA (S) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication. If the period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication. If the period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is the period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is the period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is the period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is the period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is the period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is the period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is the period of the communication and period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is the period of the communication and period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is the period of the communication and period for reply is a specified above, the macromunication is period for the communication and period for the	TI MAN INO DATE of this communication and			Idropo			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edeminand inter many be waitlow under the provision of 37 cPt 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTISS from the mailing date of this communication.  I additionally the provision of	Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	iuress			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a) objected by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  epiplication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected to .  8)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected to .  8)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected to post to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-82)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5]  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6]  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6]  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9]  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10]  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b) Some c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in hpplication No  3.  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10  Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  21  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
4) □ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  50 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ◯ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ◯ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application	•					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
7   Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.     If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).     a   All   b   Some * c   None of:     1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).     a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) 1   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examin	er.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	,						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal I					

Application/Control Number: 10/003,363 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Examiner's Comments

- 1. The limitation "approximately" or "about" 0 5 nm thick in claims 2, 5 and 6 has been interpreted to exclude the value 0 (i.e. >0 to "about 5 nm) since the claims positively recite that the tantalum or non-magnetic spacer layer exists.
- 2. Claim 4 has been interpreted-in view of the specification which only provides support for the iron-cobalt alloy to be deposited adjacent to the non-magnetic spacer material on the substrate, not for the two non-magnetic layers to be adjacent to each other (i.e. substrate/non-magnetic/{FeCo alloy/Ta}) instead of substrate/non-magnetic/{Ta/FeCo alloy}).
- 3. Claim 8 has been given its broadest reasonable interpretation given that the claim 8 depends from requires the alloy to include iron, cobalt <u>and boron</u>. As such the alloy cannot be exactly 65% iron and 35% cobalt, since claim 1 requires that the alloy comprise "iron, cobalt and boron".

#### Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Paragraph 27 refers to an unnamed copending application. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,363 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

# Claim Objections

5. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: insert a space between "claim" and "3". Appropriate correction is required.

- 6. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: "that" before "iron-cobalt" appears to be a typographical error and should be deleted or replaced.

  Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: SUL has not been previously used in the claims and should be replaced with "soft magnetic underlayer". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 11, 15 20, 22 25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. Claim 11 recites the limitation "on the second non-magnetic spacer material" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since claim 7 does not require a second non-magnetic spacer material. For purposes of evaluating the prior art, this claim was interpreted as reading "...as recited in claim 7, further comprising a perpendicular magnetic recording layer".

Art Unit: 1773

11. Claims 15 – 20, 22 – 25, 27 and 28 are method claims that refer back to product claims (i.e. claim 15 refers to "claim 11", claim 16 refers to "claim 12", etc). It is unclear from which claims these claims are supposed to depend on and therefor these claims have not been evaluating versus the prior art (i.e. is claim 16 supposed to depend on claim 14 or 15?).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102-

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 13. Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 14 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shukh et al. (U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0028357 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 14 and 26, Shukh et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium (*Title*) comprising a substrate (*Figure 2 – element 38*); a non-magnetic spacer material on the substrate (*Figure 3 – element 56*); and a soft magnetic underlayer on the non-magnetic spacer material (*Figure 3 – element 52*), the soft magnetic underlayer containing iron, cobalt and boron (*Paragraph 0026*). The examiner notes that "plurality" simply means 2 or more, so element 56 and element 52 meet the claimed limitation since they are 2 layers in total.

Arf Unit: 1773

Regarding claim 2, Shukh et al. disclose non-magnetic spacer layer thickness values meeting applicants' claimed limitations (*Paragraph 0028*).

Regarding claim 10, Shukh et al. disclose a second non-magnetic spacer material on the soft magnetic underlayer (*Figure 2 – element 42 and Paragraphs 0024 – 0025*).

## - Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 1 14, 21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugita et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,687,712).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 14 and 26, Sugita et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium (*Title – where "vertical" is known to be equivalent nomenclature for "perpendicular"*) comprising a substrate (*Figure 10 – element 12*); a non-magnetic spacer material on the substrate (*Figure 10 – element 17*); and a soft magnetic underlayer on the non-magnetic spacer material (*Figure 10 – element 13*). The examiner notes that "plurality" simply means 2 or more, so element 13 meets the claimed limitation as shown in Figure 10.

Sugita et al. fail to disclose a soft magnetic material other than permalloy (NiFe) as the soft magnetic layers.

Ařt Unit: 1773

However, substitution of equivalents requires no express motivation as long as the prior art recognizes the equivalency. In the instant case, NiFe and CoFeB are equivalents in the field of soft magnetic materials for use in perpendicular recording (see pertinent prior art cited below – Ikeda et al.). *In re Fount* 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982); *In re Siebentritt* 152 USPQ 618 (CCPA 1967); *Graver Tank & Mfg. Co. Inc. v. Linde Air Products Co.* 85 USPQ 328 (USSC 1950).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have determined the optimum value of a cause effective variable such as the non-magnetic spacer layer thickness and the soft magnetic underlayer thickness through routine experimentation (especially given the teachings in Sugita et al. regarding acceptable thickness values – *Figures 4 and 5; and col. 3, lines 3 – 30; col. 4, lines 1 – 8; and col. 5, lines 25 – 27 and lines 57 – 59*). *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), *In re Woodruff*, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Regarding claims 4 - 6, 12, 13 and 21, substitution of equivalents requires no express motivation as long as the prior art recognizes the equivalency. In the instant case, Ta and Ti are equivalents in the field of non-magnetic materials used as spacer layers between adjacent soft magnetic layers or between a soft magnetic layer and a substrate (see pertinent prior art cited below – Futamoto et al., Hosoe et al.).

In addition, Sugita et al. disclose that the soft magnetic underlayers can comprise layers having the same thickness or varied (*col. 1, line 66 bridging col. 2, line 10; col. 2, lines 29 – 64; col. 4, lines 1 – 8 and lines 36 – 41; and examples*). Therefor, the exact thickness values of the soft magnetic underlayers are deemed to be cause effective

**Art Unit: 1773** 

variables in terms of the reproduction output and S/N of the medium (*col. 4, lines 36 – 41*). It would therefor have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have determined the optimum value of a cause effective variable such as the thickness values of the various soft magnetic underlayers through routine experimentation (especially given the teachings in Sugita et al. regarding acceptable thickness values).

Regarding claims 7, 8 and 11, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have determined the optimum value of a cause effective variable such as the alloy concentration through routine experimentation, especially given the knowledge in the art regarding acceptable values for the concentration of a CoFeB alloy (see pertinent prior art cited below – Kraus et al., Beatrice et al., Platt et al., Fujimura et al., and Brouha et al.).

Regarding claim 10, Sugita et al. disclose at least a second non-magnetic spacer layer (*Figure 10 - elements 15*).

#### Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ikeda et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,468,670 B1) teach the equivalents of NiFe and CoFeB for soft magnetic underlayers used in perpendicular media (col. 3, lines 25 – 48 and Figure 1). Futamoto et al. (U.S. Patent Application No. 2001/0009730 A1) and Hosoe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,759,681) teach the equivalents of Ti and Ta with regard to non-magnetic materials used as spacers or underlayers with soft magnetic materials (*Futamoto et al. – Figure 6; Paragraphs 0042, 0088, and 0109;* 

Art Unit: 1773

Hosoe et al. – col. 2, lines 3 – 5; col. 4, lines 12 – 27; col. 5, lines 21 – 22; col. 7, lines 1 – 16 and col. 8, lines 17 – 20). Kraus et al. (IEEE Trans. Mag. 30(2), 1994, 530 – 532), Beatrice et al. (IEEE Trans. Mag. 33(5), 1997, 3772 – 3774), Platt et al. (IEEE Trans. Mag. 37(4), 2001, 2302 – 2304), Fujimura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,466,308) and Brouha et al. (EP 303324 A1) all disclose the effects of varying the Co, Fe and/or B content, as well as providing the knowledge of acceptable values for each of these element compositions (see underlined/high-lighted sections). Ikeda (U.S. Patent Application No. 2001/0019786 A1) is cited as pertinent prior art since it is directed to a similar structure as applicants' claimed medium (i.e. a perpendicular recording medium with a multilayered soft magnetic underlayer) (Figures and Paragraphs 0023, 0024, 0028 – 0030 and 0044). The examiner notes that the Ikeda ('786 A1) reference has not been applied as prior art since applicants' provisional priority date antedates the filing date of the Ikeda ('786 A1) reference.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M Bernatz whose telephone number is (703) 308-1737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Ařt Unit: 1773

Page 9

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

**KMB** 

February 18, 2003

Paul Thibodeau

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700